

# The entropy of relative dynamical systems having countably many atoms

Uosef Mohammadi<sup>\*</sup> , Department of Mathematics and Faculty of Science, University of Jiroft, Jiroft, Iran

ABSTRACT. In this paper, in order to develop a mathematical model underlying uncertainty and fuzziness in a dynamical system, which is called relative mathematical modeling, we are going to apply the notion of observer. First, by using a mathematical model of a one dimensional observer, the notion of relative entropy for a relative dynamical system having countably many atoms is considered. Also, some ergodic properties of relative dynamical systems are investigated. At the end, a new version of Kolmogorov-Sinai theorem for a relative dynamical system having countably many atoms is given.

**Keywords:** Entropy, observer, relative mathematical modeling, relative dynamical system

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification [2020]: 37A35, 28D05, 28E05

## 1. Introduction

Entropy is applicable and useful in studying the behavior of stochastic processes since it represents the ambiguity and disorder of the processes without being restricted to the forms of the theoretical probability distributions. Different entropy measures have been studied and presented including Shannon entropy, Renyi entropy, Tsallis entropy, Sample entropy, Permutation entropy, Approximate entropy, and Transfer entropy. Since in mathematical modeling of physical systems the role of observer is important, so a method is needed to measure the entropy of a system from the point of view of an observer. Any mathematical model according to the view point of an observer is called a relative model [?,?]. The notion of a relative dynamical system as a generalization of a fuzzy dynamical system has been defined in [?]. Also, the concept of entropy of a relative dynamical system has been introduced in [?,?]. This article is an attempt to present a new approach to the entropy of relative dynamical systems having countably many atoms.

### 2. Basic Notions

This section is devoted to provide some basic notions of relative structures. A modeling for an observer of a set X is a fuzzy set  $\Theta : X \to [0,1]$  [?]. In fact this kinds of fuzzy

<sup>\*</sup>Uosef Mohammadi. Email address: u.mohamadi@ujiroft.ac.ir

sets are called " one dimensional observes". The idea is based on the relation between "experiance" and "information" from the view point of an observer. Let  $\Theta$  be an observer on X, then we say  $\lambda \subseteq \Theta$  if  $\lambda(x) \leq \Theta(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Moreover, if  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \subseteq \Theta$  then  $\lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2$  and  $\lambda_1 \wedge \lambda_2$  are subsets of  $\Theta$ , and defined by

$$(\lambda_1 \lor \lambda_2)(x) = \sup\{\lambda_1(x), \lambda_2(x)\},\$$

and

$$(\lambda_1 \wedge \lambda_2)(x) = \inf\{\lambda_1(x), \lambda_2(x)\},\$$

where  $x \in X$ .

DEFINITION 2.1. A collection  $F_{\Theta}$  of subsets of  $\Theta$  is said to be a  $\sigma_{\Theta}$ -algebra in  $\Theta$  if  $F_{\Theta}$ satisfies the following conditions [?],

- (i)  $\Theta \in F_{\Theta}$ ,
- (ii)  $\lambda \in F_{\Theta}$  then  $\lambda' = \Theta \lambda \in F_{\Theta}$ .  $\lambda'$  is the complement of  $\lambda$  with respect to  $\Theta$ , (iii) if  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence in  $F_{\Theta}$  then  $\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i = \sup_i \lambda_i \in F_{\Theta}$ ,
- (iv)  $\frac{\Theta}{2}$  doesn't belong to  $F_{\Theta}$ .

If  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are  $\sigma_{\Theta}$ -algebras on X then  $P_1 \vee P_2$  is the smallest  $\sigma_{\Theta}$ -algebra that contains  $P_1 \cup P_2$ , denoted by  $[P_1 \cup P_2]$ .

DEFINITION 2.2. A positive  $\Theta$ -measure  $m_{\Theta}$  over  $F_{\Theta}$  is a function  $m_{\Theta}: F_{\Theta} \to I$  which is countably additive. This means that if  $\lambda_i$  is a disjoint countable collection of members of  $F_{\Theta}$ , (i.e.  $\lambda_i \subseteq \lambda'_j = \Theta - \lambda_j$  whenever  $i \neq j$ ) then

$$m_{\Theta}(\vee_{i=1}^{\infty}\lambda_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m_{\Theta}(\lambda_i).$$

The  $\Theta$ -measure  $m_{\Theta}$  has the following properties [?],

- (i)  $m_{\Theta}(\chi_{\emptyset}) = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $m_{\Theta}(\lambda' \vee \lambda) = m_{\Theta}(\Theta)$  and  $m_{\Theta}(\lambda') = m_{\Theta}(\Theta) m_{\Theta}(\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda \in F_{\Theta}$ ,
- (iii)  $m_{\Theta}(\lambda \vee \mu) + m_{\Theta}(\lambda \wedge \mu) = m_{\Theta}(\lambda) + m_{\Theta}(\mu)$  for each  $\lambda, \mu \in F_{\Theta}$ ,
- (iv)  $m_{\Theta}$  is a nondecreasing function i.e. if  $\lambda, \eta \in F_{\Theta}$  and  $\lambda \subseteq \Theta$ , then  $m_{\Theta}(\lambda) \leq m_{\Theta}(\eta)$ .

The triple  $(X, F_{\Theta}, m_{\Theta})$  is called a  $\Theta$ - measure space and the elements of  $F_{\Theta}$  are called relative measurable sets. The  $\Theta$ - measure space,  $(X, F_{\Theta}, m_{\Theta})$ , is called a relative probability  $\Theta$ -measure space if  $m_{\Theta}(\Theta) = 1$  [?].

EXAMPLE 2.3. Let  $(X, \beta, p)$  be a classical probability measure space and  $\Theta = \chi_X$ . Then  $F_{\Theta} = \{\chi_A : A \in \beta\}$  is a  $\sigma_{\Theta}$ -algebra on X. Define  $m_{\Theta}(\chi_A) = p(A), A \in \beta$ . Then  $(X, F_{\Theta}, m_{\Theta})$  is a relative probability  $\Theta$ - measure space.

DEFINITION 2.4. Let  $(X, F_{\Theta}, m)$  be a  $\Theta$ -measure space, the elements  $\mu, \lambda$  of  $F_{\Theta}$  are called  $m_{\Theta}$ -disjoint if  $m_{\Theta}(\lambda \wedge \mu) = 0$ .

A  $\Theta$ -relation '=(mod  $m_{\Theta}$ )' on  $F_{\Theta}$  is defined as below

 $\lambda = \mu \pmod{m_{\Theta}}$  iff  $m_{\Theta}(\lambda) = m_{\Theta}(\mu) = m_{\Theta}(\lambda \wedge \mu)$ ,

for each  $\lambda, \mu \in F_{\Theta}$ .

 $\Theta$ -relation '=(mod  $m_{\Theta}$ )' is an equivalence relation.  $F_{\Theta}$  denotes the set of all equivalence classes induced by this relation, and  $\tilde{\mu}$  is the equivalence class determined by  $\mu$ . For  $\lambda, \mu \in F_{\Theta}, \lambda \wedge \mu = 0 \pmod{m_{\Theta}}$  iff  $\lambda, \mu$  are  $m_{\Theta}$ -disjoint. We shall identify  $\tilde{\mu}$  with  $\mu$ .

DEFINITION 2.5. Let  $(X, F_{\Theta}, m_{\Theta})$  be a  $\Theta$ -measure space, and P be a sub- $\sigma_{\Theta}$ -algebra of  $F_{\Theta}$ . Then an element  $\tilde{\lambda} \in \tilde{P}$  is an atom of P if

(i)  $m_{\Theta}(\lambda) > 0$ ,

(ii) for each  $\tilde{\mu} \in \tilde{P}$  such that  $m_{\Theta}(\lambda \wedge \mu) = m_{\Theta}(\mu) \neq m_{\Theta}(\lambda)$  then  $m_{\Theta}(\mu) = 0$ .

THEOREM 2.6. Let  $(X, F_{\Theta}, m_{\Theta})$  be a  $\Theta$ -measure space, and P be a sub- $\sigma_{\Theta}$ -algebra of  $F_{\Theta}$ . If  $\tilde{\lambda}_1, \tilde{\lambda}_2$  are disjoint atoms of P then they are  $m_{\Theta}$ -disjoint.

#### 3. Entropy of a sub- $\sigma_{\Theta}$ -algebra with countable atoms

In this section we introduce the notion of entropy of a sub- $\sigma_{\Theta}$ -algebra with countable atoms. At the following, the set of all sub- $\sigma_{\Theta}$ -algebra of  $F_{\Theta}$  with countable atoms is denoted by  $R^*(F_{\Theta})$ . Assume that  $F_{\Theta}$  is a  $\sigma_{\Theta}$ -algebra and  $P_1, P_2 \in R^*(F_{\Theta})$ , and  $\{\lambda_i; i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and  $\{\mu_j; j \in \mathbb{N}\}$  denote the atoms of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  respectively, then the atoms of  $P_1 \vee P_2$  are  $\lambda_i \wedge \mu_j$  which  $m_{\Theta}(\lambda_i \wedge \mu_j) > 0$  for each  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $\gamma \in \bar{F}_{\Theta}$  we set

$$P_1 \lor \gamma = \{\lambda_i \land \gamma; m_{\Theta}(\lambda_i \land \gamma) > 0, i \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

THEOREM 3.1. Let  $\{\lambda_i; i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a  $m_{\Theta}$ -disjoint collection of relative measurable sets of relative probability  $\Theta$ -measure space  $(X, F_{\Theta}, m_{\Theta})$ , then,

$$m_{\Theta}(\vee_{i=1}^{\infty}(\lambda_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m_{\Theta}(\lambda_i).$$

DEFINITION 3.2. Let  $(X, F_{\Theta}, m_{\Theta})$  be a relative probability  $\Theta$ -measure space and  $P_1, P_2 \in R^*(F_{\Theta})$ . We say that  $P_2$  is an  $m_{\Theta}$ -refinement of  $P_1$ , denoted by  $P_1 \leq_{m_{\Theta}} P_2$ , if for each  $\mu \in \overline{P_2}$  there exists  $\lambda \in \overline{P_1}$  such that,

$$m_{\Theta}(\lambda \wedge \mu) = m_{\Theta}(\mu).$$

THEOREM 3.3. Let  $(X, F_{\Theta}, m_{\Theta})$  be a relative probability  $\Theta$ -measure space and  $P_1, P_2, P_3 \in R^*(F_{\Theta})$  if  $P_1 \leq_{m_{\Theta}} P_2$  then,

$$P_1 \vee P_3 \leq_{m_{\Theta}} P_2 \vee P_3.$$

DEFINITION 3.4. Let  $(X, F_{\Theta}, m_{\Theta})$  be a relative probability  $\Theta$ -measure space, and P be a sub  $\sigma_{\Theta}$ -algebra of  $F_{\Theta}$  which  $P \in R^*(F_{\Theta})$ , the entropy of P is defined as

$$H_{\Theta}(P) = -\log \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} m_{\Theta}(\mu_i),$$

where  $\{\mu_i; i \in \mathbb{N}\}\$  are atoms of P.

DEFINITION 3.5. Let  $(X, F_{\Theta}, m_{\Theta})$  be a relative probability  $\Theta$ -measure space and  $P \in R^*(F_{\Theta})$ . The conditional entropy of P given  $\gamma \in \overline{F_{\Theta}}$  is defined by

$$H_{\Theta}(P|\gamma) = -\log \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} m_{\Theta}(\mu_i|\gamma),$$

where,

$$m_{\Theta}(\mu_i|\gamma) = \frac{m_{\Theta}(\mu_i \wedge \gamma)}{m_{\Theta}(\gamma)} \qquad (m_{\Theta}(\gamma) \neq 0).$$

THEOREM 3.6. Let  $(X, F_{\Theta}, m_{\Theta})$  be a relative probability  $\Theta$ -measure space, and  $P_1, P_2 \in R^*(F_{\Theta})$  which  $\bar{P}_1 = \{\lambda_i; i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $\bar{P}_2 = \{\mu_j; j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then,

- (i)  $P_1 \leq_{m_{\Theta}} P_2 \Rightarrow H_{\Theta}(P_1) \leq H_{\Theta}(P_2),$
- (ii)  $P_1 \leq_{m_{\Theta}} P_2 \Rightarrow H_{\Theta}(P_1|\gamma) \leq H_{\Theta}(P_2|\gamma).$

DEFINITION 3.7. Let  $(X, F_{\Theta}, m_{\Theta})$  be a relative probability  $\Theta$ -measure space and  $P_1, P_2 \in R^*(F_{\Theta})$ . We say that  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are  $m_{\Theta}$ -equivalent, denoted by  $P_1 \approx_{m_{\Theta}} P_2$ , if the following axioms are satisfied:

- (i) If  $\lambda \in \bar{P}_1$  then  $m_{\Theta}(\lambda \wedge (\vee \{\mu; \mu \in \bar{P}_2\})) = m_{\Theta}(\lambda)$ . (ii) If  $\mu \in \bar{P}_2$  then  $m_{\Theta}(\mu \wedge (\vee \{\lambda; \lambda \in \bar{P}_1\})) = m_{\Theta}(\mu)$ .

THEOREM 3.8. Let  $(X, F_{\Theta}, m_{\Theta})$  be a relative probability  $\Theta$ -measure space, and  $P_1, P_2 \in$  $R^*(F_{\Theta})$ . If  $P_1 \approx_{m_{\Theta}} P_2$  then,

$$P_1 \approx_{m_\Theta} P_1 \vee P_2.$$

THEOREM 3.9. Let  $(X, F_{\Theta}, m_{\Theta})$  be a relative probability  $\Theta$ -measure space, and  $P_1, P_2 \in$  $R^*(F_{\Theta})$ . If  $P_1 \approx_{m_{\Theta}} P_2$  then,

$$H_{\Theta}(P_1) \le H_{\Theta}(P_1 \lor P_2).$$

DEFINITION 3.10. Let  $(X, F_{\Theta}, m_{\Theta})$  be a relative probability  $\Theta$ -measure space and  $P \in R^*(F_{\Theta})$ . The diameter of P is defined as follows

$$\operatorname{diam} P = \sup_{\lambda_i \in \bar{P}} m_{\Theta}(\lambda_i).$$

DEFINITION 3.11. Let  $(X, F_{\Theta}, m_{\Theta})$  be a relative probability  $\Theta$ -measure space, and  $P_1, P_2 \in R^*(F_{\Theta})$ , which  $\overline{P}_1 = \{\lambda_i; i \in \mathbb{N}\}, \overline{P}_2 = \{\gamma_k; k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . The conditional entropy of  $P_1$  given  $P_2$  is defined as

$$H_{\Theta}(P_1|P_2) = -\log \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\operatorname{diam}(\lambda_i \vee P_2)}{\operatorname{diam}P_2}$$
$$= -\log \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\operatorname{diam}(P_1 \vee \mu_j)}{\operatorname{diam}P_2}.$$

THEOREM 3.12. Let  $(X, F_{\Theta}, m_{\Theta})$  be a relative probability  $\Theta$ -measure space, and  $P_1, P_2, P_3 \in R^*(F_{\Theta})$ . Then,

(i)  $P_2 \leq_{m_\Theta} P_3 \Rightarrow H_\Theta(P_1|P_2) \leq H_\Theta(P_1 \lor P_3),$ 

(ii)  $H_{\Theta}(P_1|P_2) \le H_{\Theta}(P_1 \lor P_2).$ 

THEOREM 3.13. Let  $(X, F_{\Theta}, m_{\Theta})$  be a relative probability  $\Theta$ -measure space, and  $P_1, P_2, P_3 \in R^*(F_{\Theta})$ . If  $P_1 \leq_{m_{\Theta}} P_2$  then,

$$H_{\Theta}(P_1|P_3) \le H_{\Theta}(P_2|P_3).$$

# 4. Entropy of a relative dynamical system having countably many atoms

DEFINITION 4.1. Suppose  $(X, F_{\Theta}, m_{\Theta})$  be a  $\Theta$ -measure space and  $\Theta$  be a constant observer on X. A transformation  $\varphi: (X, F_{\Theta}, m_{\Theta}) \to (X, F_{\Theta}, n_{\Theta})$ , is said to be a  $\Theta$ -measure preserving if  $m_{\Theta}(\varphi^{-1}(\mu)) = n_{\Theta}(\mu)$ for all  $\mu \in F_{\Theta}$ .

THEOREM 4.2. Suppose  $\varphi : (X, F_{\Theta}, m_{\Theta}) \to (X, F_{\Theta}, n_{\Theta})$ , be a  $\Theta$ -measure preserving transformation. Then for each  $P \in R^*(F_{\Theta})$  we have,

$$H_{\Theta}(P) = H_{\Theta}(\varphi^{-1}(P)).$$

DEFINITION 4.3. Suppose  $\varphi: (X, F_{\Theta}, m_{\Theta}) \to (X, F_{\Theta}, n_{\Theta})$ , be a  $\Theta$ -measure preserving transformation. If  $P \in R^*(F_{\Theta})$ , we define the entropy of  $\varphi$  with respect to P as:

$$h_{\Theta}(\varphi, P) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} H_{\Theta}(\vee_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi^{-i}(P)).$$

THEOREM 4.4. Let  $\varphi : (X, F_{\Theta}, m_{\Theta}) \to (X, F_{\Theta}, n_{\Theta})$ , be a  $\Theta$ -measure preserving transformation and  $P \in R^*(F_{\Theta})$ . Then,

- $\begin{array}{ll} (\mathrm{i}) & h_{\Theta}(\varphi,\varphi^{-1}(P)) = h_{\Theta}(\varphi,P), \\ (\mathrm{ii}) & h_{\Theta}(\varphi,\vee_{i=0}^{r-1}\varphi^{-i}(P)) = h_{\Theta}(\varphi,P) \ for \ every \ r \geq 1. \end{array}$

THEOREM 4.5. Let  $\varphi: (X, F_{\Theta}, m_{\Theta}) \to (X, F_{\Theta}, n_{\Theta})$ , be a  $\Theta$ -measure preserving transformation and  $P_1, P_2 \in R^*(F_{\Theta})$ . Then,

- (i)  $P_1 \leq_{m_{\Theta}} P_2 \Rightarrow h_{\Theta}(\varphi, P_1) \leq h_{\Theta}(\varphi, P_2),$ (ii) if  $P_1, P_2 \in R^*(F_{\Theta})$  such that  $P_1 \approx_{m_{\Theta}} P_2$  then,

$$\varphi^{-1}(P_1) \approx_{m_\Theta} \varphi^{-1}(P_2).$$

DEFINITION 4.6. The entropy of the relative dynamical system  $(X, F_{\Theta}, m_{\Theta}, \varphi)$  is the number  $h_{\Theta}(\varphi)$  defined by,

$$h_{\Theta}(\varphi) = \sup_{P} h_{\Theta}(\varphi, P),$$

where the supremum is taken over all sub- $\sigma_{\Theta}$ -algebras of  $F_{\Theta}$  which  $P \in R^*(F_{\Theta})$ .

DEFINITION 4.7.  $P \in R^*(F_{\Theta})$  is said to be a  $m_{\Theta}$ -generator of the relative dynamical system  $(X, F_{\Theta}, m_{\Theta}, \varphi)$  if there exists an integer r > 0 such that,

$$Q \leq_{m_{\Theta}} \lor_{i=0}^{r} \varphi^{-i} P,$$

for each  $Q \in R^*(F_{\Theta})$ .

THEOREM 4.8. If P is a  $m_{\Theta}$ -generator of the relative dynamical system  $(X, F_{\Theta}, m_{\Theta}, \varphi)$ then,

$$h_{\Theta}(\varphi, Q) \le h_{\Theta}(\varphi, P),$$

for each  $Q \in R^*(F_{\Theta})$ .

Now we can deduce the following version of Kolmogorov-Sinai theorem for relative dynamical systems having countably many atoms.

THEOREM 4.9. If P is a  $m_{\Theta}$ -generator of relative dynamical system  $(X, F_{\Theta}, m_{\Theta}, \varphi)$ then,

$$h_{\Theta}(\varphi) = h_{\Theta}(\varphi, P).$$

#### References

- 1. M. Ebrahimi, U. Mohamadi, *m-Generators of Fuzzy Dynamical Systems*, Cankaya. Univ. J. Sci. Eng. 9 (2012), 167-182.
- 2. A. N. Kolmogorov, New metric invariants of transitive dynamical systems and automorphisms of Lebesgue spaces, Dokl. Nauk. S.S.S.R, 119(1958), 861-864.
- 3. U. Mohammadi, Relative entropy functional of relative dynamical systems, Cankaya. Univ. J. Sci. Eng. 2 (2014), 29-38.
- 4. U. Mohammadi, Weighted entropy function as an extension of the Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy, U. P. B. Sci. Series A. 4 (2015), 117-122.
- 5. U. Mohammadi, Observational modeling of the Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy, Sahand Commun. Math. Anal. 13 (2019),101-114.
- 6. M. R. Molaei, Mathematical modeling of observer in physical systems, J. Dyn. Syst. Geom. Theories. (2006), 183-186.
- 7. P. Walters, An Introduction to Ergodic Theory, (1982), Springer Verlag.