



## Mean curvature of semi-symmetric metric connections

Elham Zangiabadi<sup>1,\*</sup>, Zohreh Nazari<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of mathematics, Vali-e-Asr university, Rafsanjan, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Department of mathematics, Vali-e-Asr university, Rafsanjan, Iran

---

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we first introduce semi-symmetric metric and semi-symmetric non-metric connections on a  $(n+p)$ -dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$ . Then we obtain relations between mean curvatures of these connections and the Levi-Civita connection.

**Keywords:** Mean curvature, Semi-symmetric metric connection, semi-symmetric non metric connection.

**AMS Mathematics Subject Classification [2020]:** 53B05, 53B15

---

### 1. Introduction

The notion of semi-symmetric connections on a differentiable manifold is introduced by Friedman and Schouten in 1924 [3]. The study of it was further developed by some researcher such as Yano [6] and Chaki and Konar [2].

These mentioned connections have applications in Physics. There are various physical problems involving them.

In this work, we find relations between mean curvatures of these connections and the Levi-Civita connection.

In the following, we provide basic information used in the paper.

A linear connection  $\nabla$  on a semi-Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  is said to be semi-symmetric if the torsion tensor  $T$  of the connection  $\nabla$  satisfies

$$(1) \quad T(X, Y) = \omega(Y)X - \omega(X)Y,$$

for any vector fields  $X, Y$  on  $M$  and  $\omega$  is a 1-form given by  $\omega(X) = g(X, W)$ , where  $W$  is the vector field associated with the 1-form  $\omega$ .

If  $\nabla g = 0$ , then the connection  $\nabla$  is said to be a metric connection; otherwise, it is called non-metric [4].

Now let  $(M, g)$  be an  $(n + p)$ -dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold endowed with an

---

\*Speaker. Email address: e.zangiabadi@vru.ac.ir,

$n$ -distribution  $\mathcal{D}$ . The real vector space of all symmetric bilinear mappings  $g_x : \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , is denoted by  $L_s^2(\mathcal{D}_x, \mathbb{R})$ . Then we consider the vector bundle

$$L_s^2(\mathcal{D}, \mathbb{R}) = \bigcup_{x \in M} L_s^2(\mathcal{D}_x, \mathbb{R})$$

over  $M$ . The metric tensor  $g$  induces a global section of  $L_s^2(\mathcal{D}, \mathbb{R})$  which is denoted by the same symbol  $g$ . If  $g$  is non-degenerate, the pair  $(\mathcal{D}, g)$  is a semi-Riemannian distribution. Also the vector bundle  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$  is considered as follows

$$\mathcal{D}^\perp = \bigcup_{x \in M} \mathcal{D}_x^\perp,$$

where  $\mathcal{D}_x^\perp$  is the complementary orthogonal subspace to  $\mathcal{D}_x$  in  $(T_x M, g_x)$ . The metric tensor  $g$  induces a semi-Riemannian metric on  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ , and here again we denote it by  $g$ . Therefore  $(\mathcal{D}^\perp, g)$  is a semi-Riemannian distribution. Thus we have

$$(2) \quad TM = \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D}^\perp.$$

Also the mappings  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  are the projection morphisms of  $TM$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$  respectively.

$$(3) \quad a) \tilde{H}(X, \mathcal{P}Y) = \mathcal{Q}\tilde{\nabla}_X \mathcal{P}Y, \quad b) \tilde{H}^\perp(X, \mathcal{Q}Y) = \mathcal{P}\tilde{\nabla}_X \mathcal{Q}Y,$$

where  $\tilde{H}$  and  $\tilde{H}^\perp$  are the second fundamental forms of  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$  with respect to  $\tilde{\nabla}$ , respectively [1].

## 2. Main Results

We now suppose that the semi-Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  admits a semi-symmetric metric connection given by

$$(4) \quad \nabla_X Y = \tilde{\nabla}_X Y + \omega(Y)X - g(X, Y)W,$$

where  $\tilde{\nabla}$  is the Levi-Civita connection on  $(M, g)$ ,  $\omega$  is a 1-form and  $W$  is the vector field defined by

$$(5) \quad g(W, X) = \omega(X),$$

for any vector field  $X$  of  $M$  (see [5], [6]).

$$(6) \quad a) H(X, \mathcal{P}Y) = \mathcal{Q}\nabla_X \mathcal{P}Y, \quad b) H^\perp(X, \mathcal{Q}Y) = \mathcal{P}\nabla_X \mathcal{Q}Y.$$

We call  $H$  (resp.  $H^\perp$ ) the second fundamental forms of  $\mathcal{D}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ ) with respect to  $\nabla$ . Since  $\nabla$  is metric by using (??a) and (??b) we obtain that

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} g(H(X, \mathcal{P}Y), \mathcal{Q}Z) &= g(\nabla_X \mathcal{P}Y, \mathcal{Q}Z) \\ &= -g(\mathcal{P}Y, \nabla_X \mathcal{Q}Z) \\ &= -g(H^\perp(X, \mathcal{Q}Z), \mathcal{P}Y). \end{aligned}$$

By definition of the semi-symmetric metric connection  $\nabla$  and by (3a), (3b), (6a) and (6b) we deduce that

$$H(X, \mathcal{P}Y) = \tilde{H}(X, \mathcal{P}Y) + \omega(\mathcal{P}Y)\mathcal{Q}X - g(X, \mathcal{P}Y)\mathcal{Q}W,$$

and

$$H^\perp(X, \mathcal{Q}Y) = \tilde{H}^\perp(X, \mathcal{Q}Y) + \omega(\mathcal{Q}Y)\mathcal{P}X - g(X, \mathcal{Q}Y)\mathcal{P}W.$$

Therefore

$$(8) \quad H(\mathcal{P}X, \mathcal{P}Y) = \tilde{H}(\mathcal{P}X, \mathcal{P}Y) - g(\mathcal{P}X, \mathcal{P}Y)\mathcal{Q}W,$$

$$(9) \quad H^\perp(\mathcal{Q}X, \mathcal{Q}Y) = \tilde{H}^\perp(\mathcal{Q}X, \mathcal{Q}Y) - g(\mathcal{Q}X, \mathcal{Q}Y)\mathcal{P}W.$$

The second fundamental forms and the shap operators of the distributions  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$  are related by [1]

$$(10) \quad g(\tilde{H}(\mathcal{P}X, \mathcal{P}Y), \mathcal{Q}Z) = g(\tilde{A}_{\mathcal{Q}Z}\mathcal{P}X, \mathcal{P}Y),$$

and

$$(11) \quad g(\tilde{H}^\perp(\mathcal{Q}X, \mathcal{Q}Y), \mathcal{P}Z) = g(\tilde{A}_{\mathcal{P}Z}^\perp\mathcal{Q}X, \mathcal{Q}Y).$$

Let  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  be an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{D}_p$  of signature  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ , then

$$\tilde{\Pi} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i \tilde{H}(E_i, E_i)$$

is the mean curvature vector field of  $(\mathcal{D}, g)$  with respect to  $\tilde{\nabla}$  and

$$\Pi = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i H(E_i, E_i)$$

is the mean curvature of  $(\mathcal{D}, g)$  with respect to  $\nabla$ . We obtain from (8)

$$(12) \quad \Pi = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i H(E_i, E_i)$$

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i \{ \tilde{H}(E_i, E_i) - g(E_i, E_i)\mathcal{Q}W \} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i \{ \tilde{H}(E_i, E_i) \} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i g(E_i, E_i)\mathcal{Q}W \\ &= \tilde{\Pi} - \mathcal{Q}W. \end{aligned}$$

We can state the following. The mean curvature of  $(\mathcal{D}, g)$  with respect to  $\tilde{\nabla}$  coincides with that of  $(\mathcal{D}, g)$  with respect to  $\nabla$ , if a vector  $W$  lies in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

If  $\tilde{H}$  vanishes, then  $(\mathcal{D}, g)$  is totally geodesic with respect to  $\tilde{\nabla}$ , and if  $\tilde{H}(\mathcal{P}X, \mathcal{P}Y) = g(\mathcal{P}X, \mathcal{P}Y)\tilde{\Pi}$ , then  $(\mathcal{D}, g)$  is totally umbilical with respect to  $\tilde{\nabla}$ . Similarly, If  $H$  vanishes, then  $(\mathcal{D}, g)$  is totally geodesic with respect to  $\nabla$ , and if  $H(\mathcal{P}X, \mathcal{P}Y) = g(\mathcal{P}X, \mathcal{P}Y)\Pi$ , then  $(\mathcal{D}, g)$  is totally umbilical with respect to  $\nabla$ .

From (8) and (12), we have the following Proposition: The semi-symmetric distribution  $(\mathcal{D}, g)$  is totally umbilical with respect to  $\tilde{\nabla}$  if and only if it is totally umbilical with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection  $\nabla$ . If  $\tilde{\Pi} = 0$  (*resp.*  $\Pi = 0$ ), then  $(\mathcal{D}, g)$  is called minimal with respect to  $\tilde{\nabla}$  (*resp.*  $\nabla$ ) from equation (12) we have the following result:

**THEOREM 2.1.**  *$(\mathcal{D}, g)$  is minimal with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection  $\nabla$  if and only if it is minimal with respect to the Levi-Civita connection, when a vector field  $W$  lies in  $\mathcal{D}$ .*

A linear connection  $\check{\nabla}$  on a semi-Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  defined by

$$(14) \quad \check{\nabla}_X Y = \tilde{\nabla}_X Y + \omega(Y)X$$

is a semi-symmetric non-metric connection, where  $\tilde{\nabla}$  is the Levi-Civita connection of  $(M, g)$  and  $\omega$  is a 1-form.

$$(15) \quad a) \check{H}(X, \mathcal{P}Y) = \mathcal{Q}\check{\nabla}_X \mathcal{P}Y, \quad b) \check{H}^\perp(X, \mathcal{Q}Y) = \mathcal{P}\check{\nabla}_X \mathcal{Q}Y.$$

$\check{H}$  and  $\check{H}^\perp$  are the second fundamental forms of  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$  respectively. By (14) and (15)

$$(16) \quad \check{H}(X, \mathcal{P}Y) = \tilde{H}(X, \mathcal{P}Y) + \omega(\mathcal{P}Y)\mathcal{Q}X,$$

$$(17) \quad \check{H}^\perp(X, \mathcal{Q}Y) = \tilde{H}^\perp(X, \mathcal{Q}Y) + \omega(\mathcal{Q}Y)\mathcal{P}X.$$

Thus we obtain that

$$(18) \quad a) \check{H}(\mathcal{P}X, \mathcal{P}Y) = \tilde{H}(\mathcal{P}X, \mathcal{P}Y) \quad b) \check{H}^\perp(\mathcal{Q}X, \mathcal{Q}Y) = \tilde{H}^\perp(\mathcal{Q}X, \mathcal{Q}Y).$$

$$(19) \quad \check{\mathbb{H}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i \check{H}(E_i, E_i)$$

is the mean curvature of  $(\mathcal{D}, g)$  with respect to the semi-symmetric non-metric connection  $\check{\nabla}$ , where  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  is an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{D}_p$  of signature  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ . Hence by 16 we may state the following results.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *The mean curvature of  $(\mathcal{D}, g)$  with respect to  $\check{\nabla}$  coincides with that of  $(\mathcal{D}, g)$  with respect to the semi-symmetric non-metric connection  $\check{\nabla}$ . If  $\check{\mathbb{H}} = 0$ , then  $(\mathcal{D}, g)$  is called minimal with respect to  $\check{\nabla}$ .  $(\mathcal{D}, g)$  is minimal with respect to  $\check{\nabla}$  if and only if it is minimal with respect to  $\check{\nabla}$ .*

If  $\check{H}$  vanishes, then  $(\mathcal{D}, g)$  is totally geodesic with respect to  $\check{\nabla}$  and if  $\check{H}(\mathcal{P}X, \mathcal{P}Y) = g(\mathcal{P}X, \mathcal{P}Y)\check{\mathbb{H}}$ , then  $(\mathcal{D}, g)$  is said to be totally umbilical with respect to  $\check{\nabla}$ . The next Theorem follows directly from Theorem 2.2.

**THEOREM 2.3.** *The semi-Riemannian distribution  $(\mathcal{D}, g)$  is totally geodesic (totally umbilical) with respect to  $\check{\nabla}$  if and only if it is totally geodesic (totally umbilical) with respect to  $\check{\nabla}$ .*

## References

1. A. Bejancu, H R. Farran, Foliation and Geometric structures, Springer, Berlin, 2005.
2. M. C. Chaki, A. Konar, On a type of semi-symmetric connection on a Riemannian manifold, *J. Pure Math., Calcutta University.*, (1981), 77-80.
3. A. Fridmann, J. A. Schouten, Über die Geometrie der halbsymmetrischen Übertragungen, *Math. Z.*, (21) (1924), 211-223.
4. B. O'Neill, Semi-Riemannian geometry with applications to relativity, Academic Press, London, 1983.
5. S. Sharfuddin, S.I. Husain, Semi-symmetric metric connections in almost contact manifolds, *Tensor N. S.*, (30) (1976), 133-139.
6. K. Yano, On semi-symmetric connection, *Revue Roumaine de Math. Pure et Appliquées.*, (15) (1970), 1570-1586.