

Inclusion and neighborhood of multivalent q function with negative coefficients

E. Amini*

Department of Mathematics, Payme Noor University, P. O. Box 19395-4697 Tehran, IRAN.

A. Taheri

Department of Mathematics, Payme Noor University, P. O. Box 19395-4697 Tehran, IRAN.

Abstract. This article presents certain families of analytic and multivalent functions regarding q starlikeness and q convexity of order α . By using concept of neighborhoods of p valent function, we prove the coefficient bound and associated inclusion relation for the (δ, q) -neighborhood of multivalent function with negative coefficient defined by q -derivative.

Keywords: p -valent functions, Negative coefficient, q -derivative, Neighborhood, Inclusion relation.

AMS Mathematical Subject Classification [2010]: 30C45, 30C50.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{U}_p ($p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$) contain all multivalent functions say f that are holomorphic or analytic in a subset $D = \{z; |z| < 1\}$ of a complex plan \mathbb{C} and having the series form:

$$(1) \quad f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p} z^{k+p}, \quad (a_{k+p} \geq 0, z \in D).$$

In particular, if $p = 1$ the class \mathcal{U} of univalent function was investigated by Graham and Kohr [3]. Some important elementary concept details and definitions of the q calculus which play vital role in our presentation will be recalled in [1].

For given $q \in (0, 1)$, the derivative in q -analogue of f is given by

$$(2) \quad D_q f(z) = \frac{f(z) - f(qz)}{z(1-q)}, \quad (z \neq 0, q \neq 1).$$

We note that the q -derivative operator D_q converges to the ordinary derivative operator as follows:

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} D_q f(z) = \lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{f(z) - f(qz)}{(1-q)z} = f'(z).$$

Making (1) and (2), we easily get that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in D$:

$$D_q f(z) = [p]_q z^{p-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k+p]_q a_{k+p} z^{k+p-1},$$

where the q derivative operator $D_q f(z)$ of function f is defined by

$$D_q f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(z) - f(qz)}{(1-q)z}, & (z \neq 0; 0 < q < 1), \\ f'(0), & z = 0. \end{cases}$$

provided that $f'(0)$ exists, and the number $[n]_q$ is

$$[n]_q = \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} q^k, \quad [0]_q = 0.$$

*speaker

The class denoted by $S_q^*(p, \alpha)$ of p -valent q -starlike function that of order α defined as

$$S_q^*(p, \alpha) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{U}_p : \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{z D_q f(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \alpha, (z \in D, 0 \leq \alpha < 1) \right\}.$$

Also, the class $C_q(p, \alpha)$ of p -valent q -convex functions of order α is defined as:

$$C_q(p, \alpha) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{U}_p : \operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{D_q(z D_q f(z))}{D_q f(z)} \right) > \alpha, (z \in D, 0 \leq \alpha < 1) \right\}.$$

In its special case when $p = 1$, the classes $S_q^*(\alpha)$ and $C_q(\alpha)$ of q -starlike functions of order α and q -convex functions of order α were studied in earlier work (see [5]).

In [2, 4] defined the (n, δ) -neighborhood of a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{U}_p$. Now, we define (δ, q) -neighborhood of a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{U}_p$ as

$$(3) \quad N_{\delta, q}(f) = \left\{ g \in \mathcal{U}_p : g(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} b_k z^k \text{ and } \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} [k]_q |a_k - b_k| \leq \delta \right\}, \quad (\delta > 0).$$

It follow from (3) that, if $h(z) = z^p$, ($p \in \mathbb{N}$ then

$$(4) \quad N_{\delta, q}(h) = \left\{ g \in \mathcal{U}_p : g(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} b_k z^k \text{ and } \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} [k]_q |b_k| \leq \delta \right\}, \quad (\delta > 0).$$

The object of the present paper is to investigate the various properties and characteristics of analytic p -valent functions belonging to the class $T_q(p, \lambda, \alpha)$, which includes functions $f(z)$ satisfying the following inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z D_q f(z) + \lambda z D_q(z D_q f(z))}{(1 - \lambda)f(z) + \lambda z D_q f(z)} \right\} > \alpha, (z \in D, 0 \leq \alpha < 1),$$

which have introduced here. Clearly, we have

$$T_q(p, 0, \alpha) = S_q^*(p, \alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad T_q(p, 1, \alpha) = C_q(p, \alpha),$$

in terms of the simpler classes $S_q^*(p, \alpha)$ and $C_q(p, \alpha)$, respectively. Also, let $R_q(p, \lambda, \alpha)$ denote the subclass of \mathcal{U}_p consisting of function $f(z)$ which satisfy the inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} \{ D_q f(z) + \lambda D_q(z D_q f(z)) \} > 0.$$

Apart from deriving a set coefficient bounds for each of these function classes, we establish several inclusion relationships involving the (q, δ) neighborhoods of analytic p -valent functions (with negative and missing coefficient) belonging to this subclasses.

2. A set of coefficient inequality

In this section, we prove the following results which yield the coefficient inequality for functions in the subclasses $T_q(p, \lambda, \alpha)$.

2.1. THEOREM. *A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{U}_p$ is in the class $T_p(p, \lambda, \alpha)$ if and only if*

$$(5) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-\alpha(1 - \lambda) + (1 - \lambda\alpha)[k + p]_q + \lambda[k + p]_q^2) a_{k+p} \leq \phi_p, \\ (0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, (1 - \lambda\phi_p)[p]_q + \lambda[p]_q^2 > \phi_p(1 - \lambda), z \in D, p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

where $\phi_p = -\alpha(1 - \lambda) + (1 - \lambda\alpha)[p]_q + \lambda[p]_q^2$. The result is sharp.

PROOF. Suppose that $f(z) \in T_q(p, \lambda, \alpha)$. Then, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{([p]_q + \lambda[p]_q^2)z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k + p]_q + \lambda[k + p]_q^2 a_{k+p} z^{k+p}}{(1 - \lambda + \lambda[p]_q)z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - \lambda + \lambda[k + p]_q) a_{k+p} z^{k+p}} \right\} > \alpha. \\ (0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, (1 - \lambda\phi_p)[p]_q + \lambda[p]_q^2 > \phi_p(1 - \lambda), z \in D, p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

INCLUSION AND NEIGHBORHOOD

If we chose z to be real and let $z \rightarrow 1^-$, we obtain

$$\frac{([p]_q + \lambda[p]_q^2) - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k+p]_q + \lambda[k+p]_q^2 a_{k+p}}{(1-\lambda + \lambda[p]_q) - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1-\lambda + \lambda[k+p]_q) a_{k+p}} > \alpha.$$

$$(0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, (1-\lambda\phi_p)[p]_q + \lambda[p]_q^2 > \phi_p(1-\lambda), z \in D, p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

or equivalently

$$-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-\alpha(1-\lambda) + (\lambda\alpha - 1)[k+p]_q + \lambda[k+p]_q^2) a_{k+p} > \alpha(1-\lambda) - (1-\lambda\alpha)[p]_q - \lambda[p]_q^2.$$

$$(0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, (1-\lambda\phi_p)[p]_q + \lambda[p]_q^2 > \phi_p(1-\lambda), z \in D, p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

which is precisely assertion (5) of theorem 2.1.

Conversely, suppose that the inequality (5) holds true and let

$$z \in \partial D = \{z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| = 1\}.$$

Then, we find from the definition (1) that

$$\left| \frac{zD_q f(z) + \lambda z D_q (z D_q f(z))}{(1-\lambda)f(z) + \lambda z D_q f(z)} - \phi_p \right|$$

$$= \frac{|(-\phi_p(1-\lambda) + (1-\lambda\phi_p)[p]_q + \lambda[p]_q^2)z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-\phi_p(1-\lambda) + (1-\lambda\phi_p)[p+k]_q + \lambda[p+k]_q^2) a_{k+p} z^{k+p}|}{|(1-\lambda + \lambda[p]_q)z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1-\lambda + \lambda[k+p]_q) a_{k+p} z^{k+p}|}$$

$$\leq \frac{(-\phi_p(1-\lambda) + (1-\lambda\phi_p)[p]_q + \lambda[p]_q^2)|z^p| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-\phi_p(1-\lambda) + (1-\lambda\phi_p)[p+k]_q + \lambda[p+k]_q^2) a_{k+p} |z^{k+p}|}{(1-\lambda + \lambda[p]_q)|z^p| - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1-\lambda + \lambda[k+p]_q) a_{k+p} |z^{k+p}|}$$

$$\leq \phi_p - \alpha.$$

$$(0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, (1-\lambda\phi_p)[p]_q + \lambda[p]_q^2 > \phi_p(1-\lambda), z \in \partial D, p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

provided that the inequality (5) is satisfied. Hence by the maximum modulus theorem, we have $f(z) \in T_q(p, \alpha, \lambda)$.

Finally, we note that the assertion (5) of theorem 2.1 is sharp, the extremal function being

$$f(z) = z^p - \frac{-\alpha(1-\lambda) + (1-\alpha\lambda)[p]_q + \lambda[k+p]_q^2}{-\alpha(1-\lambda) + (1-\alpha\lambda)[p+k]_q + \lambda[k+p]_q^2} z^{k+p}, \quad (z \in D, 0 \leq \alpha < 1).$$

□

2.2. THEOREM. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{U}_p$ be given by (1). Then $f(z) \in R_q(p, \lambda, \alpha)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} ([k+p]_q + \lambda[k+p]_q^2) a_{k+p} \leq [p]_q + \lambda[p]_q^2 - \alpha$$

2.3. THEOREM. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{U}_p$ be in the class $T_q(p, \alpha, \lambda)$. Then

$$(6) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p} \leq \frac{\phi_p}{\phi_{k+p}} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k+p]_q a_{k+p} \leq \frac{\phi_p \phi_{k+p} - \alpha(1-\lambda)}{\phi_{k+p}(\phi_{k+p} + \alpha(1-\lambda))},$$

where $\phi_p = -\alpha(1-\lambda) + (1-\alpha\lambda)[p]_q + \lambda[p]_q^2$.

PROOF. By using Theorem 2.1, we find from (5) that

$$-\alpha(1-\lambda) + (1-\alpha\lambda)[k+p]_q + \lambda[k+p]_q^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p}$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-\alpha(1-\lambda) + (1-\alpha\lambda)[k+p]_q + \lambda[k+p]_q^2) a_{k+p}$$

$$\leq -\alpha(1-\lambda) + (1-\alpha\lambda)[p]_q + \lambda[p]_q^2,$$

which immediately yields the first assertion (6) of Theorem 2.3.

By appealing (5), we also have

$$-\alpha(1-\lambda) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p} + ((1-\alpha\lambda) + \lambda[k+p]_q) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k+p]_q a_{k+p} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi_{k+p} a_{k+p} \leq \phi_p.$$

so that,

$$\begin{aligned} ((1-\alpha\lambda) + \lambda[k+p]_q) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k+p]_q a_{k+p} &\leq \phi_p + \alpha(1-\lambda) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p} \\ &= \phi_p + \alpha(1-\lambda) \frac{\phi_p}{\phi_{k+p}}. \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$(\phi_{k+p} + \alpha(1-\lambda)) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k+p]_q a_{k+p} \leq \frac{\phi_p \phi_{k+p} - \alpha(1-\lambda)}{\phi_{k+p}},$$

which readily implies the coefficient inequality

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k+p]_q a_{k+p} \leq \frac{\phi_p \phi_{k+p} - \alpha(1-\lambda)}{\phi_{k+p} (\phi_{k+p} + \alpha(1-\lambda))}.$$

□

2.4. THEOREM. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{U}_p$ be in the class $R_q(p, \lambda, \alpha)$. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p} \leq \frac{[p]_q + \lambda[p]_q^2 - \alpha}{[k+p]_q + \lambda[k+p]_q^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k+p]_q a_{k+p} \leq \frac{[p]_q + \lambda[p]_q^2 - \alpha}{1 + \lambda[k+p]_q}.$$

3. Inclusion relations Involving the (n, δ) -neighborhoods

In this section, we determine the neighborhood properties for each of the following function classes

$$T_q(p, \alpha, \lambda), \quad R_q(p, \alpha, \lambda), \quad S_q^*(p, \alpha), \quad C_q(p, \alpha).$$

3.1. THEOREM. If $f \in \mathcal{U}_p$ is in the class $T_q(p, \alpha, \lambda)$, then

$$(7) \quad T_q(p, \alpha, \lambda) \subset N_{\delta, q}(h),$$

where $h(z) = z^p, z \in D$ and

$$(8) \quad \delta := \frac{\phi_p \phi_{k+p} - \alpha(1-\lambda)}{\phi_{k+p} (\phi_{k+p} + \alpha(1-\lambda))}.$$

PROOF. Assertion (13) would follow easily from the definition of $N_{\delta, q}(h, f)$, which is given by (4) with $g(z)$ replaced by $f(z)$, and the second assertion (6) of Theorem 2.3. □

3.2. THEOREM. If $f \in \mathcal{U}_p$ is in the class $R_q(p, \alpha, \lambda)$, then

$$(9) \quad R_q(p, \alpha, \lambda) \subset N_{\delta, q}(h),$$

where $h(z) = z^p, z \in D$ and

$$(10) \quad \delta := \frac{[p]_q + \lambda[p]_q^2 - \alpha}{1 + \lambda[k+p]_q}.$$

Putting $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following corollary:

INCLUSION AND NEIGHBORHOOD

3.3. COROLLARY. If $f \in \mathcal{U}_p$ is in the class $S_q^*(p, \alpha)$, then

$$(11) \quad S_q^*(p, \alpha) \subset N_{\delta, q}(h),$$

where $h(z) = z^p, z \in D$ and

$$(12) \quad \delta := \frac{(-\alpha + [p]_q)(-\alpha + [p+k]_q)}{[p+k]_q(-\alpha + [p+k]_q)}.$$

Putting $\lambda = 1$ in Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following corollary:

3.4. COROLLARY. If $f \in \mathcal{U}_p$ is in the class $C_q(p, \alpha)$, then

$$(13) \quad C_q(p, \alpha) \subset N_{\delta, q}(h),$$

where $h(z) = z^p, z \in D$ and

$$(14) \quad \delta := \frac{(1-\alpha)[p]_q + [p]_q^2}{(1-\alpha)[k+p]_q + [k+p]_q^2}.$$

3.5. DEFINITION. A function $f \in \mathcal{U}_p$ is said to be in the class $S_q(p, \alpha, \lambda)$ if there exist $g \in R_q(p, \alpha, \lambda)$ such that

$$\left| \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| < \alpha.$$

3.6. THEOREM. If $g \in R_q(p, \alpha, \lambda)$ and

$$\alpha = 1 - \delta \frac{1 + \lambda[k+p]_q}{[k+p]_q - [p]_q + \lambda([k+p]_q^2 - [p]_q^2 + \alpha)},$$

then

$$N_{\delta, q}(g) \subseteq S_q(p, \alpha, \lambda).$$

References

- [1] G. Gasper, M. Rahman, *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, 2nd ed. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 96, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [2] O. Altıntaş, H. Irmak, and H. Srivastava, *Fractional calculus and certain starlike functions with negative coefficients*, Computers & Mathematics with Applications, <http://hdl.handle.net/1828/2607>, **30**(2), (1995), 9–15.
- [3] I. Graham, G. Kohr, *Geometric Function Theory in one and Higher Dimensions*, Marcel Dekker, Inc, New York, 2003.
- [4] A. W. Goodman, *Univalent functions and nonanalytic curves*, Proc. Math. Soc. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2033525>, **8**,(1975), 598–601.
- [5] T. M. Seoudy, M. K. Aouf, *Coefficient estimates of new classes of q -starlike and q -convex functions of complex order*, J. Math. Inequal, <http://files.ele-math.com/articles/jmi-10-11> **10**, (2016), 135-145.

E-mail: eb.amini.s@pnu.ac.ir

E-mail: ab.amini.s@gmail.com